Mismatched Expectations are Straining EU-Ukraine Relations

Strengthening Mutual Trust and Credibility Should Remain Key Priority

Despite welcoming signs from the recent EU-Ukraine Summit, the underlying problems still loom large in this special relationship. The EU is running out of tools to incentivize more difficult reforms, and Ukraine is running out of arguments why it is unable to tackle corruption, oligarchs, and consolidate rule of law. With growing geopolitical turmoil in the region, a further strengthening of this partnership should be a priority. The risk of an increasing anti-Western backlash in Ukraine should not be underestimated.

The 22nd EU-Ukraine Summit, which was held in Brussels on October 6, 2020, ended on a surprisingly positive note considering that on the day of the event it was still unclear whether a joint statement, satisfactory to all parties, would be issued. In the end, the summit achieved positive results for Kyiv, and President Volodymyr Zelenskyi got most of what he wanted: the EU’s promise to revise the Association Agreement (AA) in 2021, a bold call on Russia to assume responsibility for the lack of more progress made in settling the Donbas conflict, and soft language on the lack of significant results on the rule of law, anti-corruption, and de-oligarchization.

The summit could have ended on a less positive note given that bilateral ties are the most strained they have been since the landmark AA came into force three years ago. Tensions were simmering only a few days before the summit during the first trip to Kyiv by the European Commission’s High Representative Josep Borrell, who underlined the importance of their strategic partnership but also warned that Ukraine should not take the EU’s support for granted. Tough negotiations during the summit on whether to proceed with deeper sectoral integration would only push the relationship further towards an emergency situation where both sides lose trust in each other.
Mismatched Expectations Are Straining EU-Ukraine Relations

No. 31 | October 2020

COMMENTARY

lasted until the very last minute. This was due to the significant reluctance of some EU capitals, particularly Paris, to give the green light to the gradual economic integration of Ukraine into the EU’s Single Market. Due to the lack of more EU incentives, and the fact that some member states are blocking the cooperation on the security dimension (another divisive issue among the EU-27), the EU has run out of tools. Except for financial and technical assistance, Brussels does not have a new big offer for Kyiv to motivate it to carry out further painful reforms.
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UKRAINE’S FRUSTRATION WITH THE EU

In recent years, Ukraine’s domestic reform agenda has focused almost exclusively on the implementation of the AA. But the process of implementation during the last three years has revealed what works well and what could work better in the AA. That is why one of the main points on Ukraine’s agenda is an update of the AA in a way that would better underline the mutual benefits of cooperation. Ukraine wants an agreement that reflects the current opportunities rather than those that were relevant when it was first negotiated. The EU’s hesitation to commit to a revision of the agreement was something that created a lot of tension prior to the summit.

The lack of ambition and clarity about the future goals in EU-Ukraine relations is not only demobilizing pro-EU groups in Ukraine but also allowing nationalists and euro-skeptics such as
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In office, President Zelenskyi and his pro-Russian forces and oligarchs take advantage and attempt to manipulate public opinion in Ukraine. On the day of Zelenskyi’s visit to Brussels, Viktor Medvedchuk – a leading pro-Russian opposition leader and opponent of a European future for Ukraine – paid a visit to Russia’s President Vladimir Putin in Moscow. The risk of an anti-Western and anti-European backlash in Ukraine in the near future should not be underestimated.

RENEWED UKRAINE FATIGUE IN EUROPE

An important factor that is undermining Ukraine’s credibility and image in Europe, albeit not new, is “Ukraine fatigue.” This has been prevalent in EU member states for a while now and only risks becoming more serious. For one, there is no agreeable political solution to Donbas in sight, something that exposes the EU’s weaknesses when it comes to its foreign policy towards Russia. Despite some limited progress in the past year with the exchange of prisoners and a fragile ceasefire holding since July 2020, the conflict with Russia over the occupied region in Donbas is also hurting European economies due to the sanctions imposed on Russia. The divisions within the EU over how to handle Moscow’s behavior are hurting the unity of EU-27 and reveal a fragile consensus over the maintenance of sanctions. Until the Navalny poisoning, there were strong signals from major EU capitals of a desire to return to a normal relationship with Moscow. The “Russia reset” policy is now on hold, which plays in Ukraine’s favor, but does not mean it is abandoned. While how long this freeze on the reset lasts depends mostly on Russia rather than on Ukraine, the clear demand for a different relationship with Russia remains.

Second, during his first year and a half in office, President Zelenskyi and his team have not entirely been able to show a credible commitment to genuine domestic reforms in the eyes of their Western partners. More alarming, there are signs of rollback. There is mounting concern in the EU that there is no political will to fight vested interests. Furthermore, with a stalled judicial reform, recent attacks on anti-corruption institutions, and a lack of progress on key criminal investigations, Zelenskyi and his government are losing the EU member states’ trust. But building strong institutions through genuine reforms is the key to Europe’s heart and wallet.

Frequent reshuffles and the loss of institutional memory that comes with changing public servants are weakening Ukraine’s civil service when it comes to the process of reform implementation and maintaining contacts with Western governments. As a result, governments of some EU member states find it difficult to build a rapport with their counterparts in governmental institutions in Ukraine.

HOW TO MOVE FORWARD

Trust and credibility in international diplomacy are key to making partnerships resilient in times of crisis. This foundation might be at risk in the EU-Ukraine relations despite the recent summit’s good omens.

The EU needs to provide clarity on where it stands vis-à-vis Ukraine. The AA is a powerful instrument but it is insufficient to mobilize the unprecedented efforts that the reform process demands. Between association and membership new targets need to be set to incentivize reforms. One option could be the prospect of a “Norway model” for Ukraine, which would provide high levels of sectoral integration but no membership. The EU could also reenergize the partnership by further developing the economic dimension of the AA to make it mutually advantageous, provided it could agree on such positions within the Council. Considering the amount of support channeled towards Ukraine, EU institutions and member states could also beef up their expertise on the country. While the work of the Commission’s Support Group for Ukraine is regarded positively in Ukraine, the ultimate decision-making remains with the member states. And some states lack sufficient expertise on Ukraine and are also pursuing their own agendas, which do not necessarily overlap with that of EU institutions.

Ukraine, in turn, should use smarter tactics to diminish opposition within the EU from hesitant key member states in order to be able to extract more benefits for itself. Undoubtedly there is a clear need for more incentives for Ukraine to continue its reforms and to help it sell difficult structural transformations to the population as well as to financial interest groups that are interested in maintaining the status quo. However, the political leadership in Ukraine for its part could diversify its portfolio of demands by proposing smaller steps that could be jointly taken with the EU or with smaller coalitions of member states that are interested to deepen their relations with Ukraine such as, for example, Germany, Poland, the Nordics and Baltic countries plus the Czech Republic and Slovakia. In times of crisis, a mutual commitment to more feasible objectives and actions is more easily achieved and can help consolidate trust.
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